## WO

# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

	Uı	nited States of America v.	ORDER OF	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
		Christy V. Beltran	Case Number:	CR-13-08067-PCT-GMS		
			C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has be one or both, as applicable.)	peen submitted to the Court. I conclude		
	-	ar and convincing evidence the dence trial in this case.	efendant is a danger to the community	and require the detention of the defendant		
		reponderance of the evidence the ng trial in this case.	e defendant is a serious flight risk and r	equire the detention of the defendant		
			PART I FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)	- ' ' ' ' ' '	e defendant has been convicted of a (fe	ederal offense)(state or local offense that eral jurisdiction had existed) that is		
		a crime of violence as o	defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
		an offense for which the	e maximum sentence is life imprisonme	ent or death.		
		an offense for which a	maximum term of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in		
		a felony that was commodescribed in 18 U.S.C.	nitted after the defendant had been con § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses te or local offenses.		
			are defined in section 921), or any other	esession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure		
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The pending trial for a federal, state		nmitted while the defendant was on release		
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) es will reasonably assure the safet not rebutted this presumption.	stablish a rebuttable presumption that r y of (an)other person(s) and the comm	no condition or combination of conditions nunity. I further find that the defendant has		
			Alternative Findings			
(1) 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed				endant has committed an offense		
		for which a maximum to	erm of imprisonment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in1		
		under 18 U.S.C. § 924(	c), 956(a), or 2332b.			
		under 18 U.S.C. 1581-7 prescribed.	1594, for which a maximum term of imp	orisonment of 20 years or more is		
		an offense involving a r	ninor victim under section	2		
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted	the presumption established by finding	g 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.		

 $<sup>^{1}</sup> Insert \ as \ applicable: (a) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 801 \ et \ seq.); (b) \ Controlled \ Substances \ Import \ and \ Export \ Act \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 951 \ et \ seq.); or \ (c) \ Section \ 1 \ of \ Act \ of \ Sept. \ 15, \ 1980 \ (21 \ U.S.C. \ \S \ 955a).$ 

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$ 

# 

		Alternative Findings			
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).			
	(4)				
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)			
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:			
Ӡ	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
	The o	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:			
	-				

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C.  $^{\$}$  3142(f). See 18 U.S.C.  $^{\$}$  3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

### 

The defendant	ppeared on a writ and submitted	the issue of detention.	The defendant is currently serving a state
sentence.			

## PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: July 5, 2013

time of the hearing in this matter.

Honorable Steven P. Logan United States Magistrate Judge